Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/427,600	BETTS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nadia Khoshnoodi	2133
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed case</u>		
(1) <u>Nadia Khoshnoodi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Karen Hazzah</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2005	Time: <u>12:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 54		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Original claim 54 (renumered 22 for issue) ends in a semicolon. A replaced with a period. Attorney authorized Examiner to do an ex	Attorney concurred that the semi-	colon is a typo and it should be
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A pher summary	by the examiner appears in F	-ап п ав∪∨е.
Nadia Khoshundi		
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	onature – if appropriate)